

Measure M MSP Application Workshop

October 3, 2024

Agenda

1. Introduction
2. MSP Scoring Criteria Review
3. Prospective Applicant Questions
 - All questions and corresponding answers will be posted to the SGVCOG website following this workshop (www.sgvkog.org/msp-projects)

1. Introduction

- ▶ Funding availability

Active Transportation Available Funding:

| Unallocated/ FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 | FY 2029 | TOTAL |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| \$0 | \$2,443,332 | \$7,520,577 | \$7,700,000* | \$17,663,909 |

First/Last Mile & Complete Streets Available Funding:

| Unallocated/ FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 | FY 2029 | TOTAL |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| \$1,134,098 | \$2,850,555 | \$8,744,007 | \$9,000,000* | \$21,728,660 |

- ▶ Metro funding agreements
- ▶ Three years (including allocation year) to spend the funds

1. Introduction

- ▶ **Big Picture**
 - ▶ Projects already in the pipeline
 - ▶ In existing plans
 - ▶ Strong community and Council support / vetted
 - ▶ Seeking or already awarded other grant sources
 - ▶ Solid project narrative; care taken in the application

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

- ▶ Categories
 - ▶ **Category 1: Previous Awarded Project Gap Funding.** \$10 million will be awarded to eligible projects that were previously awarded funding through the SGVCOG’s MSP program.
 - ▶ **Category 2: New Project Funding.** \$25 million will be awarded to “new” projects that have not previously been awarded through the SGVCOG’s MSP program. Previously-awarded agencies who are seeking funding for a different project may apply under this category.
- ▶ Scoring Overview
 - ▶ Partial points are available on all scoring subcategories

| | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Project Feasibility | 35 Points |
| Regional Impact | 45 Points |
| Demonstrated Support | 20 Points |
| TOTAL | 100 Points |

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Project Feasibility - 35 Points

- ▶ Project Schedule (5)
 - ▶ The submitted schedule **fully incorporates** necessary phases, provides adequate time to complete the phases, describes how the schedule can be met, and highlights steps taken to expend the funds within the funding deadlines.
 - ▶ Application Section 3
- ▶ Funding Strategy & Budget (5)
 - ▶ The proposal provides **realistic and detailed** project funding strategy, budget, and cost estimates. Cost effectiveness is **apparent**.
 - ▶ Application Section 5

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Project Feasibility - 35 Points (continued)

- ▶ Local Match (10)
 - ▶ The proposal allows the full required local match to be met for all other funding sources.
 - ▶ Application Section 5
- ▶ Capital Improvement Plan (5)
 - ▶ The proposal **includes** a project that is identifiable in at least one participating agency's five-year Capital Improvement Plan.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 ("Capital Improvement Plan")

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Project Feasibility - 35 Points (continued)

- ▶ Project Readiness (10)
 - ▶ The proposed project has **completed one or more project phases (e.g. PAED, PS&E) and is on track to be shovel ready or under construction by the requested allocation year.**
 - ▶ Application Section 3

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Regional Impact - 45 Points

- ▶ Mobility and Accessibility (10)
 - ▶ The proposed project **clearly and convincingly demonstrates** that it improves traffic flow, relieves congestion, improves access to destinations such as jobs, recreation, medical facilities, and schools, and enables residents, workers, and visitors to travel freely and quickly throughout the San Gabriel Valley.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 (“Regional Impact”)
- ▶ Safety (10)
 - ▶ The proposed project **clearly and convincingly demonstrates** that it improves access to transit facilities, enhances safety, and corrects unsafe conditions in areas of heavy traffic, high transit use, and dense pedestrian activity where it is not a result of lack of normal maintenance.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 (“Regional Impact”)

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Regional Impact - 45 Points (continued)

- ▶ Demonstrated Need (10)
 - ▶ The proposed project **clearly and convincingly demonstrates** that it addresses specific active transportation and/or first/last mile & complete streets needs in the community and benefits to disadvantaged communities, including transit dependent populations and those who have been historically barred from transit or active transportation facilities due to accessibility barriers.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 (“Regional Impact”)

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Regional Impact - 45 Points (continued)

- ▶ Equity Focused Communities (10)
 - ▶ The proposed project is **located in, or substantially located in,** a Metro-defined Equity Focus Community (EFC); the proposed project has demonstrated a clear connection and benefit to that EFC; the applicant has a credible and supported expectation that a target population will use and benefit from the project.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 (“Equity Focused Communities”; “Regional Impact”)

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Regional Impact - 45 Points (continued)

- ▶ 2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games (5)
 - ▶ The proposed project demonstrates a clear nexus to supporting public access to a 2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games venue; the proposed project has demonstrated that it can be completed in time for the 2028 Olympic Games.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 (“2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games”)

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Demonstrated Support - 20 Points

- ▶ Community Outreach (10)
 - ▶ The applicant clearly and convincingly describes who was engaged in the identification and development of the project and documents that the engagement included all appropriate levels of public and governmental stakeholders, highlights evidence of a community-based public participation process, and showcases community support for the project.
 - ▶ Application Section 4; Letters of Support
- ▶ Committed Partnerships (10)
 - ▶ The applicant clearly and convincingly describes the roles and responsibilities of partnering jurisdictions and includes letters of commitment/support from each partnering jurisdiction.
 - ▶ Application Section 2 (Letters of Support)

2. MSP Application & Scoring Criteria Review

Demonstrated Support - 20 Points (+5 Bonus)

- ▶ Regional Plan Adoption (+5)
 - ▶ The proposed project was listed in the Metro Mobility Matrix, the Metro Long Range Transportation Plan, the Metro Strategic Project List, the SCAG Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (Connect SoCal Plan), San Gabriel Valley Greenway Network Strategic Implementation Plan, or other adopted regional plans OR the proposal includes a project in a San Gabriel Valley active transportation corridor listed in the Metro Active Transportation Strategic Plan.
 - ▶ Application Section 4 (“Regional Plans”; “Metro Active Transportation Corridor”; “SGV Greenway Network”)

3. Prospective Applicant Questions

- ▶ All questions and corresponding answers will be posted to the SGVCOG website following this workshop (www.sgvkog.org/msp-projects)

REMINDER: Application Due Date is October 31, 2024.



Contact

Steph Wong

swong@sgvcog.org